## CONSUMER VULNERABILITY CONTINUES SIDEWAYS DRIFT

Quantec's Consumer Vulnerability Index (CVI) for South Africa has remained at the same level for the past six quarters-the longest period of sideways movement in the index observed to date. The improvement of consumer vulnerability which commenced in 2009, has reached a plateau, with all of the subcomponent variables having shown little change in the past quarter. After reaching dangerously high levels during 2008, the index had, by the end of 2010, dropped by nearly 29 index points (or roughly $-37 \%$ ). During the past two years, the index has been fluctuating in a narrow range around the 50 -point mark, recording 51 over the six most recent periods.
Households' vulnerability improved over the past three years mostly in the areas of indebtedness. Lower interest rates ensured that debt servicing costs declined significantly, while households still found themselves in a position of not generating savings on a net basis.
Employment growth also picked up slightly, but only twothirds of job losses that occurred during the recession had been restored by the end of
 the second quarter of 2012. The lack of improvement in residential property values meant that households' net worth remained under pressure. Credit uptake in real terms by households did appear to be picking up by the end of 2010 , but stalled again during 2011. Real growth in credit extended to households did accelerate to around $2 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ in the second quarter of 2012 .
The economy's performance and the extent to which employment growth will continue in $2012 / 2013$, will be crucial factors in determining households' ability to meet their debt obligations and further improve their financial vulnerability.

Consumer Vulnerability Index


Many international studies have been conducted over the years in an attempt to establish predictors of consumer vulnerability which could serve as a warning signal to the authorities and to aid banks in gauging the possible impact of household debt and borrowing on their profitability. In South Africa, a lack of data and insufficient occurrences of consumer crises, make the compilation of probability predictors more difficult.
Recently, the heightened uncertainty related to the sovereign debt concerns in high-income countries, has shaken investors and consumers' confidence, weighing on the industrial sector recovery as consumers delayed purchases of durable goods and businesses drew down stocks.
For South Africa, nine indicators of consumer financial health or vulnerability were identified and combined into a single index which can be used as a measure of consumer vulnerability. For each of the nine indicators, the historical standard deviations are calculated and scores given as shown in the tables below.

## Consumer vulnerability index composition (2nd Quarter 2012)

|  | Historical period values ${ }^{1)}$ |  |  | Latest value ${ }^{2)}$ | Score 5 years ago | Score 3 years ago | Score 1 <br> year ago | $\begin{gathered} \text { Score } \\ \text { 2Q2012 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outlook: } \\ & \text { next } 6 \\ & \text { months }{ }^{3)} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Maximum | Minimum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment growth ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | -6.3\% | 2.0\% | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | Positive |
| Insolvencies growth ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | 9.5\% | 137.8\% | -45.3\% | -15.5\% | 15 | 20 | 10 | 10 | Stable |
| Real house price growth ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | 2.1\% | 35.3\% | -21.5\% | -8.0\% | 10 | 20 | 15 | 15 | Stable |
| Saving to HDI ${ }^{4)}$ | 1.9\% | 10.1\% | -3.9\% | 0.0\% | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 | Stable |
| Household debt to HDI | 59.9\% | 82.7\% | 44.3\% | 76.3\% | 20 | 25 | 20 | 20 | Stable |
| Real Credit growth ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | 6.1\% | 23.6\% | -6.2\% | 1.9\% | 15 | 5 | 10 | 10 | Positive |
| Debt servicing cost | 9.3\% | 15.4\% | 5.1\% | 6.9\% | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | Stable |
| Real HDI growth (y/y) | 3.5\% | 18.8\% | -13.0\% | 4.0\% | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | Positive |
| Debt to financial assets ${ }^{5)}$ | 29.4\% | 33.7\% | 22.9\% | 30.4\% | 10 | 20 | 15 | 15 | Stable |
| Total average score (out of 100) |  |  |  |  | 58 | 76 | 51 | 51 |  |
| 1) Historical period under review starts in 1981 for most data series <br> 2) Most of the official macro-economic data are available for 4Q2011 <br> 3) Expected score movement relative to the most recent quarter |  |  |  | ${ }^{5)}$ Recent data for unmatured policies at insurance companies are estimated |  |  |  |  |  |

## How scoring is done:

For indicators where a bigger number would indicate less vulnerability
(i.e. real income growth, employment, savings, real house price growth)

| (i.e. real income growth, employment, savings, real house price growth) |
| :--- |
| Std deviations from mean |$\gg 2$

For indicators where a smaller number would indicate less vulnerability
(i.e. debt ratios, growth in real credit, insolvencies growth, debt servicing cost)

| Std deviations from mean | $>2$ | 2 to 1 | 1 to 0 | 0 to -1 | -1 to -2 | $>-2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |

For each of the indicators, the most recent value is compared with the historical data. A score is then awarded depending on how many standard deviations this value is below or above the historical mean.

Source: Quantec

From the table it can be seen that consumers vulnerability are at the same level as a year ago, and these levels are much improved on the condition that prevailed some three years ago.
Savings were appallingly low and real credit growth dangerously high during the second quarter of 2006. The global credit crunch and ensuing recession of 2008/2009, impacted on employment and disposable income growth. Distress borrowing gave rise to even higher debt levels and caused a material rise in the number of insolvencies recorded.
The latest available figures (for the second quarter of 2012) show that four of the nine indicators were still in the top half of the vulnerability scale. The other five
indicators were showing lower vulnerability for various reasons. The lowest interest rates in four decades have had a remarkably positive effect on debt servicing costs.
Credit uptake has continued to remain weak owing to tighter lending criteria applied by banks and also because of households' relatively weak overall financial position. These factors have been limiting households' ability to take on additional debt. Household debt levels nevertheless remained elevated despite some moderate increases in household disposable income growth.
Meanwhile the residential property market has remained under pressure which, together with the oversupply of properties in some areas, have kept real house price growth in negative territory.

Household debt as a percentage of disposable income


Household debt servicing cost as a percentage of disposable income
Whereas the household-debt-to-income ratio averaged $54 \%$ for about 25 years prior to 2005 , the ratio moved up uninterruptedly during 2005 to 2008, going as high as $82,7 \%$. Since then, household debt has relented somewhat, registering $75,4 \%$ of disposable income at the end of 20 II . However, debt levels have crept up slightly during the first half of 2012 , coming to $76,3 \%$ in the second quarter of 2012 . This level can still be regarded as unsustainably high, especially if interest rates should start to rise again. The high debt level has proved to be an obstacle in the savings efforts of households and has weakened their balance sheets, thus hampering the take-up of credit. This in turn has dampened the increases in certain asset prices.


The latest number (second quarter 2012) of 6,9\%, represents a meaningful drop from the level of more than $12 \%$ which prevailed during much of 2008 and 2009. (These figures only approximate interest costs and not principal repayments on debt.) The improved debt servicing cost ratio is of course mainly the result of the decline in interest rates since the end of 2008. The ratio is bound to show some further improvement during 2012 in view of the interest rate cut announced in June. The Reserve Bank is also not expected to raise interest rates for at least another year or so. In addition, disposable income growth should show further advances in view of aboveinflation wage increases being granted in many sectors.

Real credit growth: Household sector


Real year-on-year growth in private sector credit extension was negative during 2009 and the first half of 2010. During mid-2010 to mid-201I, real credit growth was in positive territory but only to the tune of $3 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$, on average. Real credit growth showed virtually no movement in the second half of 2011. During the first half of 2012 , credit growth accelerated slightly, rising by $\mathrm{I}, 3 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$. In nominal, terms during the first seven months of 2012, total credit extended to the household sector grew by $7,2 \%$ yly. "Other loans and advances" grew the fastest, by $16,3 \%$ y/y followed by instalment sales credit ( $12,9 \%$ ). Mortgage advances growth was again negative in real terms and grew by only $2,3 \%$ yly. Mortgage advances comprised $50 \%$ of all loans, while "other loans and advances" constituted $37 \%$ of the total.

Real household disposable income growth
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Real household disposable income (HDI) increased by $4 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ in the first and second quarters of 2012 after rising by, on average, $5,2 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ in 20 II .
Some of the main determinants of income still remain under pressure:

- Employment growth: Although positive growth is being recorded, unemployment is still rising while nearly 450000 jobs have still not been recovered since the recession.
- Productivity growth: Labour productivity rose only marginally by $0,9 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ in the first quarter of 2012 .
- Inflation: Headline CPI inflation is likely to pick up in the final quarter of 2012 and to average $5,6 \%$ in 2012 .
- Changes in taxes and subsidies: No tax relief is foreseen over the next few years.

Change in insolvencies
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Rising insolvencies (of individuals) and liquidations (of enterprises) nearly always accompany any deterioration in economic conditions. Very often, a person can become insolvent when his/her income is no longer sufficient to finance expenditure, especially when such expenditure relates to the financing of debt commitments. During 2000-05, insolvencies had shown mostly a declining trend, averaging -l8,4\% y/y during this period. However, insolvencies started to increase at the end of 2005, and by mid-2008, escalated at a rate of more than $130 \%$ p.a. This involved nearly 1800 insolvencies per quarter, compared with an average of some 560 insolvencies per quarter during 2007. The rate of growth in insolvencies started to moderate towards the end of 2008 and during the past 4 quarters, insolvencies had shown a drop of $20 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ on average (684 cases in the second quarter of 2012).

## Real house price growth



Any decline in residential property values usually relates to excess supply, relative to demand. Although the number of houses built escalated by, on average 9,3\% p.a. during 2003-2007, the decline in real house prices during 2008 probably had as much to do with deteriorating demand conditions than it did with an oversupply of properties. Steep increases in real house prices of more than 17\% per year during 2003-2007 had made houses relatively expensive, while interest rate increases between mid-2006 and end-2008, as well as tighter credit conditions since mid-2007, caused many potential homebuyers to buy smaller properties or to postpone purchases altogether. The drop in house prices during 2008 and early 2009 was the steepest since 1992, and by the second quarter of 2012, real house prices were back at roughly the levels that prevailed during the latter part of 2004.

Household debt / financial assets
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Household assets consist of tangible assets such as fixed property and durable goods, as well as financial assets. Reliable estimates for tangible assets of South African households are unfortunately not available. However, household assets held at banks in the form of deposits, at insurers in the form of unmatured policies, at pension funds and other financial assets in the form of financial securities, were added together to arrive at an approximation of household financial assets. During the period 2002-08, households accumulated debt at a faster pace than financial assets which caused the ratio to increase by more than ten percentage points. The situation has improved in the meantime, with the ratio reaching a level of just more than $30 \%$ in the second quarter of 2012 .

Household net saving as \% of disposable income
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Household net saving in the national accounts is calculated as gross disposable income less final consumption expenditure and fixed capital formation. South Africa's net household saving, according to this measure, has been negative since the end of 2005. In essence, it implies that households are consuming more than they are earning, and this shortfall needs to be augmented from either accumulated savings, or through borrowing. Neither of these options are conducive for long-term wealth creation or ensuring sufficient funds at retirement and cannot be sustained indefinitely without affecting households' standard of living. Ways to encourage household saving would include sufficiently high real interest rates; low rates of inflation; measures to discourage excessive borrowing; economic policies to stimulate job creation; and low rates of taxation-especially on savings.

## Change in formal employment



According to Stats SA's Quarterly Employment Survey and Quarterly Labour Force Survey (QLFS), formal employment started to rise (year-on-year) in the fourth quarter of 2010 after having recorded seven consecutive quarters of year-on-year declines. The latest surveys show that formal employment growth amounted to $2 \% \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{y}$ in the second quarter of 2012 compared with average growth of $1,3 \%$ that was recorded during 201 I . The latest QLFS (which includes informal sector jobs) showed that in the second quarter of 2012 , compared with the first quarter, manufacturing again shed some jobs ( -9000 ), but all other sectors experienced some gains, with community, social and personal services adding the most jobs (24000). In percentage terms, electricity and transport sectors grew the fastest in terms of jobs created.

## International comparisons: Household net worth, debt and savings



Household debt servicing cost / HDI (\%)


Mortgage debt / disposable income (\%)


Household net worth / HDI (\%)



South African consumers are not the only ones who have been amassing debt over the past two decades or so. In Australia, the UK, and the US, debt levels had grown at an even more rapid pace, with mortgage debt having been responsible for most of the growth in household indebtedness.
The 2008/09 credit crisis and ensuing recession had also been responsible for a massive erosion in household wealth levels. From their respective peaks, household net wealth levels fell by $28 \%$ in the US, $18 \%$ in Australia, $17 \%$ in South Africa and $16 \%$ in the UK before recovering over the past year or so. Fortunately low borrowing rates have also caused significant declines in the debt servicing costs of households.
It would appear that savings levels have also benefitted from a renewed austerity drive amongst developed nations and although the process appears to have started also in South Africa, our household savings ratio remains at around zero-levels.

